

### MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### GENERAL INFORMATION

### **Requestor Name and Address**

PINE CREEK MEDICAL CENTER 9032 HARRY HINES BLVD DALLAS TX 75235

Respondent Name Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Republic Indemnity Company of California Box Number 19

MFDR Tracking Number MFDR Date Received

M4-13-1906-01 March 26, 2013

## REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Total reimbursement should have been \$1,804.01 for CPT codes 64493 (50) &

64494 (50)."

Amount in Dispute: \$908.35

## RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "The carrier asserts that it has paid according to applicable fee guidelines and challenges whether the disputed charges are consistent with applicable fee guidelines."

Response Submitted by: Flahive Ogden & Latson

### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
November 2, 2012	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$908.35	\$908.35

# FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

# **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, titled *Hospital Facility Fee Guideline Outpatient*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for facility services provided in an outpatient acute care hospital.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
  - 97 PAYMENT ADJUSTED BECAUSE THE BENEFIT FOR THIS SERVICE IS INCLUDED IN THE PAYMENT/ALLOWANCE FOR ANOTHER SERVICE/PROCEDURE THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ADJUDICATED.
  - W1 WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
  - 243 THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE WAS NOT PAID SINCE THE VALUE OF THIS

PROCEDURE IS INCLUDED/BUNDLED WITHIN THE VALUE OF ANOTHER PROCEDURE PERFORMED.

 193 – ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY THE FIRST TIME.

#### <u>Issues</u>

- 1. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
- 2. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

### **Findings**

- 1. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables is not applicable.
- 2. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
  - Procedure code 77003 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
  - Procedure code 64493 has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 100%. These services are classified under APC 0207, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$523.33. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$314.00. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9675 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$303.80. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$209.33. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$513.13. The provider billed this procedure code with modifier 50. Bilateral procedures are paid at the rate for two units. The highest paying status indicator T procedure is paid at 100% for the first unit; each additional T procedure unit is paid at 50%. The APC amount is \$769.70. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,025. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line is \$769.70. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$1,539.39.
  - Procedure code 64494 has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 50%. These services are classified under APC 0204, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$182.89. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$109.73. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9675 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$106.16. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$73.16. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$179.32. The provider billed this procedure code with modifier 50. Bilateral procedures are paid at the rate for two units. The highest paying status indicator T procedure is paid at 100% for the first unit; each additional T procedure unit is paid at 50%. The APC amount is \$179.32. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,025. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line, including multiple-procedure discount, is \$179.32. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$358.64.
  - Procedure code Q9966 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
- 3. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$1,898.03. The amount previously paid by the

insurance carrier is \$895.66. The requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$908.35. This amount is recommended.

## Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$908.35.

### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$908.35, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

## **Authorized Signature**

		0
		October 14, 2013
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

## YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 383*3, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.